

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/046,683	SALTZ, IVAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mohammad Ali	2166	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Mohammad Ali.

(3) _____.

 (2) Attorney, Manuel Valcarcel, Esq., RN: 41,360.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 September 2006
Time: 2:00 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 6

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to applicant's representative about a significant amendment towards the invention in order to prosecute the case and applicant's representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has been given for an Examiner's amendment. .